

RECEIVED
 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 USDC, CLERK, CHARLESTON, SC

2008 JUL -9 A 9:00

Michael Mahaffey, #186794,
)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 Officer Washington; Officer Barnett;
 and Sergeant Nobles,)
)
 Defendants.)
)

Civil Action No. 3:07-1831-SB

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's pro se complaint, which alleges violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, this matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.


On June 9, 2008, Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the Plaintiff's complaint for failure to prosecute and/or comply with the orders of the Court pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of the right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within 10 days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriner's Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written objections, there are no portions of the R&R to which the Court must conduct a de novo review. Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order

of this Court, and it is

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



The Honorable Sor Blatt, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

July 8, 2008
Charleston, South Carolina

